



Material change for  
a better environment



## Assessing the costs and benefits of reducing waste in construction

### 20 new build masonry houses



	Value	Percentage of £1m construction cost
<b>Cost saving potential</b>	<b>£16,800</b>	<b>1.68%</b>
<b>Additional costs to achieve these savings</b>	<b>£10,700</b>	<b>1.07%</b>
<b>TOTAL POTENTIAL COST SAVING</b>	<b>£6,100</b>	<b>0.61%</b>

#### Introduction

Reducing, reusing and recycling waste can help to reduce the construction costs of housing. House builders can realise these savings by embedding resource efficiency principles into the way they **design, procure** and **build** their projects. Such action lies at the heart of corporate commitments in support of the sector target for halving waste to landfill.

This case study identifies the potential costs and benefits that could be achieved through waste reduction and recovery in the construction of 20 new build masonry houses. This analysis excludes opportunities that might be realised by radical design changes (such as moving to an off-site construction system).

The project consists of 20 new build houses, with a combined construction value of £1m. The houses will be of typical masonry construction (i.e. blockwork with render and facing bricks, chipboard flooring and PVCu windows). This assessment does not include demolition and abnormal excavations, nor does it include external works such as paving, landscaping, car parking or access roads.

#### Design potential

Significant savings can be made by targeting good practice wastage rates for the 10 or so components offering the biggest savings in the value of materials wasted.

	Value of materials wasted	Cost of waste disposal	Total cost of waste	Total cost of waste as % of construction value
Baseline practice	£15,908	£15,841	£31,749	3.17%
Good practice (all components)	£6,885	£5,734	£12,620	1.26%
Targeted practice (top opportunities)	£8,257	£6,662	£14,919	1.49%
<b>Improvement over baseline</b>	<b>£7,651</b>	<b>£9,173</b>	<b>£16,830</b>	<b>1.68%</b>



**Material change for  
a better environment**



In addition to financial benefits, actions to be more resource efficient also deliver the following changes in environmental performance:

	Total waste arisings (t)	Waste sent to landfill (t)	Recovery rate	Carbon (t) <sup>1</sup>	Recycled content
Baseline	322	151	53%	103	13.46%
Good practice	138	28	80%	40	19.53%
Targeted	173	31	80%	54	18.27%
<b>Improvement over baseline</b>	<b>149 (46%)</b>	<b>120 (79%)</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>49 (47%)</b>	<b>4.81%</b>

### Understanding the costs and benefits

WRAP's Net Waste Tool has been used to estimate the extent of the cost savings possible, and to select the top improvement opportunities. Waste reduction and recovery actions needed to deliver these targeted savings were then identified, and their implementation costs estimated. Costs and benefits are shown in the Tables below.

Achieving cost reductions (BENEFITS)	Baseline	Targeted practice	Improvement
<p><b>Value of materials wasted</b> Construction materials are a valuable resource, yet it is common to see high levels of waste through damage on site, off-cuts, over-ordering of materials and the need for rework. Reducing this waste saves money. Where a trade contractor supplies materials and labour for a lump sum fee, they are likely to retain savings from waste reduction unless the client or contractor takes specific actions through the procurement process.</p>	<b>£15,908</b>	<b>£8,257</b>	<b>£7,651</b>  <i>(0.76% of construction value)</i>
<p><b>Cost of waste disposal</b> Every skip or container of waste carries a cost. Whilst segregated metals are often removed at little or even zero charge, the majority of wastes carry substantial costs – and these are set to rise with the annual increase in Landfill Tax. However, waste disposal costs aren't fixed. Substantial savings are achievable simply by reducing the quantity of waste generated. In addition, the segregation of wastes and finding destinations other than landfill can help further. In this example, the total mass of waste has been reduced by 46%, and a four skip strategy has been chosen instead of a single skip strategy.</p>	<b>£15,841</b>	<b>£6,662</b>	<b>£9,179</b>  <i>(0.9% of construction value)</i>  <i>(£7,747 saved through reduced waste arisings, and £1,432 saved through increased segregation)</i>
<b>Combined savings</b>			<b>£16,830</b>

<sup>1</sup> Embodied carbon of wasted materials plus carbon impact of disposal route



**Material change for  
a better environment**



These savings will only be achieved by taking specific management actions to change behaviour during design and site practice.

<b>Investing to save (COSTS)<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Costs</b>
<b>Develop quality SWMP</b> – Additional time beyond minimum legal compliance (England only) to develop plan with quality forecasts (including using the Net Waste Tool) and robust management actions.	<b>£1,120</b>
<b>Develop site logistics strategy</b> – Planning time required to establish how materials are to be delivered, stored and moved around the site	<b>£1,560</b>
<b>Site training</b> – Time to provide training, and site operatives’ time to receive training (5nr ½hr briefings for 10 operatives per session)	<b>£950</b>
<b>Materials storage</b> – Nominal allowance for construction of hard standing and temporary shelter for materials (or cabin hire)	<b>£1,200</b>
<b>Management time</b> – Additional time required to ensure SWMP is adhered to, including materials handling, re-use of materials on site, efficient installation and waste segregation (2.5hrs per week for ¾ of the programme)	<b>£2,250</b>
<b>Updating SWMP</b> – The SWMP needs to be reviewed and updated throughout the project. This cost allows for a 4 hour review every 3 months.	<b>£640</b>
<b>Site segregation</b> – To ensure good segregation, this cost allows for a single individual to sort and move wastes and monitor the re-use of materials on site. (Included full-time for 50% of the programme as reduced demand during early packages.)	<b>£3,024</b>
<b>Combined costs</b>	<b>£10,744</b>

### Sharing the costs and benefits

On paper there are possible savings of £16,830, but to achieve these savings an estimated £10,744 in costs must be incurred. This Section identifies how to achieve these benefits, who receives the benefits from these savings, and who pays for the improvements.

#### The benefits

##### A. Reduction in value of materials wasted

Potential saving                    £7,651

The following materials provide the largest cost reduction potential. The values below show the potential saving if wastage rates are improved from a Baseline to a Good practice<sup>3</sup> level.

	<b>Baseline wastage rate (%)</b>	<b>Good practice wastage rate (%)</b>	<b>Potential saving</b>
<b>Blockwork (dense blocks 100mm)</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>£2,898</b>
<b>Brickwork</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>£2,524</b>
<b>Ground slab and foundations</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>£933</b>
<b>Glazed wall tiling</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>£331</b>
<b>Chipboard flooring</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>£440</b>
<b>Mineral wool insulation</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>£280</b>
<b>PVCu windows</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>£245</b>

<sup>2</sup> These costs are based upon estimated durations, and have been reviewed with selected contractors.

<sup>3</sup> These wastage rates are based upon primary research carried out by Arup (on behalf of WRAP) with main contractors and sub contractors. Data were gathered on the likely level of waste at Baseline practice (the waste one would expect in normal working conditions) and at Good practice (the reduced level of waste if additional measures are put in place to prevent damage and install efficiently).



This list includes mostly low value, high quantity items (concrete blocks, bricks and concrete). Some other materials contribute smaller savings including chipboard flooring, insulation, and PVCu windows. Focusing efforts on the high quantity materials listed above will ensure the cost of waste is reduced as low as possible.

*Who saves?*

Many house builders buy materials and waste contracting services directly. This means that any savings that can be achieved should be directly realised by the house builder. Less waste should equate directly to a reduced order size.

However, if the works are contracted out then the savings will be realised by whoever supplies the materials. This is normally the trade contractor, or the main contractor for bulk products such as aggregates. The extent of waste is rarely reconciled with the original order, meaning that trade contractors often do not know how much waste is costing. To convert this reduction in waste into a reduction in price (for the contractor or client), the trade contractor will need to:

- include a reduced wastage rate in their tender (for more competitive pricing on a lump sum tender); or
- procure less materials, therefore save money, and share this up the supply chain (open book tender).

**B. Reduction in cost of waste disposal**

Potential saving £9,179

A reduction in waste cuts the cost of waste disposal (£7,747 saving). In addition, several of the largest waste streams can be segregated. By segregating wastes, the value of these waste streams is increased, and therefore the cost of disposal is reduced (£1,432 saving).

On this project the following waste streams have been segregated, and the breakdown of the wastes in each (by volume) is as follows:

Inert	Packaging	Timber	Mixed
153m <sup>3</sup>	39m <sup>3</sup>	26m <sup>3</sup>	24m <sup>3</sup>

*Who saves?*

These savings will normally accrue to whoever procures the waste disposal service. Waste disposal would usually be paid for on the basis of volume (and type) of waste removed, so a reduction in waste would equate to a direct drop in the cost of disposal.

**The costs**

Most of the costs required to reduce waste or increase recovery are borne by the contractor and/or the house builder. These costs are divided into two parts: planning costs and management costs.

**Planning** for waste is a low cost / high impact activity, highlighting the big opportunities such that effort can be focused on these. For example, by planning you might identify that you need better materials storage, hence the allowance of £1,200 for this.

During construction the **management** of wastes is important to ensure that the plan is delivered. This analysis includes an additional amount of management time to oversee the waste management process (including material deliveries, material storage, installation and waste disposal), plus an allowance for a dedicated operative to manage and monitor materials storage and waste segregation (£5,274).



Material change for  
a better environment



## Conclusion

The main contractor will typically benefit from the reduction in the cost of waste disposal (£9.2k), but from this analysis, will incur costs (£10.7k for waste reduction and segregation) which exceed their own saving. To ensure that maximum benefit from good waste practices is realised (and shared), it is therefore important for the client, the contractor and the trade contractor to work together to ensure that *the potential for waste reduction is built into wastage allowances for materials purchasing at the tender stage*, i.e. greater recycling is not enough in itself.

For this project the potential reduction in value of materials wasted is £7.6k, which together with the saving in disposal costs more than justifies the cost of waste minimisation and management. Therefore:

- clients need to instruct designers to look for waste reduction opportunities, plus set threshold waste reduction and recovery targets;
- designers need to look for opportunities to design out waste (such as simplification of the specification);
- contractors need to develop a quality SWMP and a materials logistics plan;
- trade contractors need to ensure that materials are not over ordered, and that the materials brought to site are used as efficiently as possible; and
- the waste management contractor must ensure that all wastes received are recycled wherever possible.

## Methodology

*This cost benefit analysis has been conducted using data taken from WRAP's Net Waste Tool. The Tool is freely accessible on the web at [www.wrap.org.uk/nwttool](http://www.wrap.org.uk/nwttool), and helps project teams to forecast the waste that would be expected on different projects. The Tool works by setting up basic cost plan information to which baseline and good practice industry wastage rates are applied. The analysis identifies which components and specifications offer the greatest opportunities for waste reduction, and proposes a least cost segregation strategy. The Tool forecasts the overall quantities and costs of waste at baseline, good and user-targeted levels of performance, including the value of wasted materials and the cost of waste disposal.*